



## TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

Prepared for: Nikole Coleman  
Associate Planner  
City of Poulsbo  
200 NE Moe Street  
Poulsbo, WA 98370

March 15, 2021

Prepared by: Grette Associates<sup>LLC</sup>  
2102 North 30<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste A  
Tacoma, WA 98403

File No.: 208.029

Re: City of Poulsbo's SMP Update - 2010 Cumulative Impacts Analysis: Addendum

### 1 INTRODUCTION

Grette Associates has contracted with the City of Poulsbo to prepare an addendum to the 2010 *Cumulative Impacts Analysis* (the "CIA"; dated December 2010) that was prepared by Grette Associates.

The purpose of this addendum is to address the proposed land use changes associated with the City's current Shoreline Master Program (SMP) update and to demonstrate that no net loss of shoreline ecological functions will occur as a result of the proposed land use changes for conformance with WAC 173-26-186. The land use changes addressed in this addendum include the following:

- The redesignation of the shoreline environment from Shoreline Residential 1 (SR-1) to Natural shoreline environment for the four City owned properties (Kitsap County parcels 152601-4-087-2006, 152601-4-088-2005, 152601-089-2004, and 152601-4-090-2001) located along the shoreline between NW Liberty Rd. and NW Bay St. and the two City parcels (Kitsap County parcels 152601-1-010-2004 and 152601-1-001-2005) located at the head of Liberty Bay;
- Code amendments (Article III of the SMP) for single-family land use in the SR-1 shoreline designation that would allow four privately owned parcels (Kitsap County parcels 152601-4-046-2006, 232601-2-219-2001, 152601-4-078-2007, and 152601-4-101-2008) the opportunity to propose single-family residential development without the need to obtain a shoreline variance;
- Code amendments (Article VI of the SMP) that would allow existing single-family residences that are less than 2,500 square feet in size within the SR-1 shoreline environment designation the opportunity to propose expansion of the existing residence without the need to obtain a shoreline variance.

## 2 NO NET LOSS ANALYSIS

This section summarizes the analyses to demonstrate that the proposed land use changes will not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological functions. Each subsection provides a summary of the existing land use and conditions to provide a baseline to compare against the proposed land use changes and how those changes may affect existing ecological shoreline functions. Given that there are no specific projects proposed on the referenced parcels, the no net loss analysis summaries provided below are largely qualitative and intended to demonstrate that these changes will not indirectly result in a potential net loss of shoreline ecological functions.

### 2.1 Shoreline Environment Redesignation


The SMP update will include the redesignation of the shoreline environment from SR-1 to Natural shoreline environment for the four City-owned properties (Kitsap County parcels 152601-4-087-2006, 152601-4-088-2005, 152601-089-2004, and 152601-4-090-2001) located along the shoreline between NW Liberty Rd. and NW Bay St. (Figure 1) and the two City-owned parcels (Kitsap County parcels 152601-1-010-2004 and 152601-1-001-2005) located at the head of Liberty Bay (Figure 2).

**Figure 1. NW Liberty Rd./NW Bay St. Parcels: Vicinity Map**



Parcels currently designated as SR-1 are generally those areas of shorelands waterward of the established shoreline buffer (PMC 16.08.170). According to the shoreline use table provided in PMC 16.08.180, some commercial and public uses are allowed within SR-1 with the approval of a conditional use or minor conditional use permit. Examples include, but are not limited to, commercial water dependent use, parks and recreational facilities, and public services. Residential use within SR-1 requires an applicant to obtain a shoreline variance from the SMP.

**Figure 2. Liberty Bay Parcels: Vicinity Map**



### 2.1.1 Existing Shoreline Functions

The subject parcels where the City proposes to redesignate the shoreline environment are undeveloped (Figure 1 and 2). Based on available imagery, the vegetation within the NW Liberty Rd. and NW Bay St. parcels predominantly consists of non-native shrubs with some native trees. The shoreline in this area appears to be unarmored and resembles a relatively natural low energy shoreline environment (see attached aerial image). The Liberty Bay parcels predominantly consist of estuary and native forest which is largely associated with Fish Park (Figure 2)<sup>1</sup>.

Functions that the subject parcels likely provide include foraging and refuge opportunities for a variety of small and moderate size mammals as well as foraging, nesting, and refuge opportunities for passerine bird species. In addition, the mature trees situated within the subject parcels likely provide perching opportunity for raptor bird species.

The portion of the subject parcels that is waterward of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) contains vegetated areas which predominantly consist of emergent wetland vegetation. These conditions potentially provide foraging opportunities for juvenile salmonids during high tides. The NW Liberty Rd. and NW Bay St. parcels also likely provide suitable spawning conditions for surf smelt<sup>2</sup>. The intertidal area likely provides foraging and refuge opportunities for a variety of small mammals as well as foraging, nesting, and refuge opportunities for an assortment of bird species.

<sup>1</sup> Portions of the northern parcel (152601-1-010-2004) is currently designated as Natural shoreline environment.

<sup>2</sup> According to WDFW's forage fish spawning map (online), surf smelt spawning is documented in the northern portions of liberty bay.

### *2.1.2 No Net Loss Determination*

The existing shoreline environmental designation (i.e., SR-1) currently allows for certain land development activities to occur within the subject parcels. A proposed project (pending approval) could include the removal of vegetation and the construction of buildings/structures, impervious surfaces, and landscaping which would ultimately have the potential to reduce shoreline buffer functions compared to those functions the subject parcels currently provide. While any proposed land use activity would be required to adhere to the development standards defined in the current version of the SMP, it is assumed that any substantial land use allowed under the SR-1 designation would result in the removal of vegetation.

The proposed redesignation would change the shoreline environment from SR-1 to Natural. With the exception of a few types of land use (e.g., parks, recreational facilities and public services), the redesignation would no longer allow most land use that would result in the construction of a substantial development.

In summary, it is Grette Associates' professional opinion that no net loss of shoreline ecological function would result from the proposed shoreline environment redesignation. This change would largely eliminate most types of development projects that are currently allowed in the SR-1 shoreline environment and would only retain those land use activities that are not likely to pose a significant change to existing shoreline functions .

## **2.2 Article III – Shoreline Development, Uses, and Activities**

One proposed code amendment to Article III of the SMP includes the land use change for single-family land use in the SR-1 shoreline designation.

### *2.2.1 Current Single Family Land Use Approval Process*

Per PMC 16.08.180 any single-family residential project within SR-1 is required to obtain a shoreline variance from the development standards defined in the SMP. This process requires an applicant to demonstrate that the proposed project meets the variance requirements defined in WAC 173-27-170 and requires an applicant to go before the City's hearing examiner to present the proposed project for approval. Per PMC 16.08.490, any habitat impacts that would result from a proposed project permitted under a variance shall be fully mitigated to ensure no net loss of shoreline habitat and/or ecological functions.

### *2.2.2 Proposed Single-Family Land Use Approval Process*

The proposed land use change within SR-1 would allow a single-family land use project to be a permitted activity rather than requiring an applicant to obtain a shoreline variance. The proposed change would allow four privately owned parcels (Kitsap County parcels 152601-4-046-2006, 232601-2-219-2001, 152601-4-078-2007, and 152601-4-101-2008) the opportunity to propose a single-family residence development without the need to obtain a shoreline variance. Per PMC 16.08.140, any proposed development and/or regulated activity within the jurisdiction of the SMP shall demonstrate mitigation sequencing and demonstrate how the proposed project will result in no net loss of shoreline ecological function. Furthermore, any proposed development and/or regulated activity within the jurisdiction of the SMP shall adhere to all applicable development standards defined in the Chapter 16.20 of the PMC (Critical Areas Ordinance).

### *2.2.3 No Net Loss Determination*

The purpose of the proposed land use change is to provide an applicant the opportunity to obtain approval of a single-family residential project through an administrative permitting process rather than requiring an applicant to obtain a shoreline variance. As summarized above, both permitting processes require an applicant to fully mitigate any potential adverse impacts to ensure no net loss of shoreline habitat and/or ecological functions will occur as a result of a proposed project. Based on this information, it is Grette Associates' professional opinion that the proposed land use change would not result in a net loss of shoreline ecological function.

### **2.3 Article VI - Nonconformances**

The City proposes to amend Article VI of the SMP which would allow existing single-family residences that are less than 2,500 square feet in size within the SR-1 shoreline environment designation the opportunity to propose expansion of the existing residence without the need to obtain a shoreline variance.

This proposed allowance would require a proposed expansion project to demonstrate that the expansion does not extend farther waterward of the existing primary residential structure; does not exceed 2,500 square feet (existing structures plus proposed), including appurtenances; and that no net loss of shoreline habitat values and functions occur as a result of a proposed expansion.

#### *2.3.1 No Net Loss Determination*

The proposed amendment would require an applicant to adequately demonstrate that a proposed expansion project would result in no net loss of shoreline ecological function. This is accomplished by requiring an applicant to adhere to the requirements of PMC 16.08.140 (i.e., mitigation sequencing) as well as all applicable requirements defined in the SMP (i.e., Chapter 16.20 of the PMC) to ensure no net loss of ecological shoreline functions will occur as a result of a proposed project. Based on this information, it is Grette Associates' professional opinion that the proposed amendment to Article VI of the SMP has been structured to ensure that any proposed single-family expansion would require an applicant to demonstrate no net loss of ecological shoreline function and conformance with the critical area requirements defined in the current version of the SMP.

### **3 CONCLUSION**

It is Grette Associates' professional opinion that the proposed land use changes summarized above will result in no net loss of shoreline ecological functions. The proposed shoreline environmental redesignation from SR-1 to Natural will prohibit any substantial land use activities which will ultimately ensure that the subject parcels remain largely undeveloped and/or only allowed for low impact development. In addition, the proposed amendments to Article III and IV are intended to provide property owners within the SR-1 shoreline environmental designation some flexibility with administrative permitting processes and allow for some minor expansion to existing residences that meet the required criteria. These changes will not excuse an applicant from adhering to the development standards and no net loss requirements defined in the SMP.

If you have any questions regarding this addendum, please contact me at (253) 573-9300, or by email at [chadw@gretteassociates.com](mailto:chadw@gretteassociates.com).

Regards,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Chad Wallin". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Chad" being more prominent than the last name "Wallin".

Chad Wallin  
Biologist

# **CITY OF POULSBO SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM UPDATE**

## **CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS & NO NET LOSS SUMMARY**

PREPARED FOR:

**CITY OF POULSBO**  
PLANNING AND BUILDING DEPARTMENT  
200 NE MOE STREET  
POULSBO, WASHINGTON 98370

PREPARED BY:

**GRETTE ASSOCIATES, LLC**  
2102 NORTH 30<sup>TH</sup> SUITE A  
TACOMA, WASHINGTON 98403  
(253) 573-9300

151 SOUTH WORTHEN, SUITE 101  
WENATCHEE, WASHINGTON 98801  
(509) 663-6300

NOVEMBER 2011 | Updated March 2021



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	3
1.1 Shoreline Management Act Requirements .....	3
1.2 Methodology .....	3
1.3 Report Organization.....	4
2 MARINE REACH 1 .....	5
2.1 Existing Shoreline Use and Functions .....	5
2.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development.....	5
2.3 SMP Policies and Regulations Created To Address Potential Impacts .....	8
3 MARINE REACH 2 .....	11
3.1 Existing Shoreline Use and Functions .....	11
3.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development.....	11
3.3 Potential Impact to Function Resulting from Foreseeable Development .....	11
3.4 SMP Policies and Regulations Created To Address Potential Impacts .....	12
4 MARINE REACH 3 .....	13
4.1 Existing Shoreline Use and Functions .....	13
4.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development.....	13
4.3 Potential Impact to Function Resulting from Foreseeable Development .....	15
4.4 SMP Policies and Regulations Created To Address Anticipated Impacts.....	15
5 MARINE REACH 4 .....	19
5.1 Existing Shoreline Use and Functions .....	19
5.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development.....	19
5.3 Potential Impact to Function Resulting from Foreseeable Development .....	22
5.4 SMP Policies and Regulations Created To Address Anticipated Impacts.....	22
6 MARINE REACH 5 .....	24
6.1 Existing Shoreline Use and Functions .....	24
6.2 Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development.....	24
6.3 Potential Impact to Function Resulting from Foreseeable Development .....	26
6.4 SMP Policies and Regulations Created To Address Anticipated Impacts.....	26
7 GENERAL SHORELINE MANAGEMENT POLICIES AND REGULATIONS.....	28
7.1 Shoreline Master Program Policies.....	28
7.1.1 Shoreline Environmental Designations.....	28
7.1.2 Shoreline Habitat and Critical Areas .....	28
7.1.3 Water Quality.....	30
7.2 Shoreline Master Program Regulations .....	30
7.2.1 General Provisions .....	30
7.2.2 Regulations applying to all shoreline development and activities.....	31
7.2.3 Shoreline Activities Development and Uses.....	31
7.2.4 Design Requirements .....	31
7.2.5 Shoreline Modifications.....	32

8	INCREMENTAL AND UNANTICIPATED IMPACTS.....	33
9	SUMMARY TABLE.....	34
10	SUMMARY OF NET IMPACT TO ECOLOGICAL FUNCTION.....	41
11	NO NET LOSS SUMMARY REPORT (ADDENDUM).....	42
11.1	Introduction.....	42
11.2	City of Poulsbo’s Shoreline Master Program Update Timeline.....	42
11.3	Synopsis of How No Net Loss is Achieved through the SMP update process.....	43
11.4	Results of the Supporting Documents generated during the City of Poulsbo SMP Update Process.....	45
11.4.1	Inventory and Characterization.....	45
11.4.2	Cumulative Impacts Analysis.....	45
11.4.3	Restoration plan.....	45
11.5	Supporting Documents and SMP update.....	46
11.5.1	Environmental Designations.....	46
11.5.2	Policies.....	47
11.5.3	Regulations.....	48
11.6	No Net Loss Conclusion.....	48
12	REFERENCES.....	49

**EXHIBITS**

Official Shoreline Maps

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 SHORELINE MANAGEMENT ACT REQUIREMENTS

The purpose of the cumulative impact analysis, as described by WAC 173-26-186(8)(d), is to

*“[E]valuate and consider cumulative impacts of reasonably foreseeable future development on shoreline ecological functions fostered by the policy goals of the act. To ensure no net loss of ecological functions and protection of other shoreline functions and/or uses, master programs shall contain policies, programs, and regulations the address adverse cumulative impacts among development opportunities”.*

The guidelines also specify that a complete evaluation of cumulative impacts document should identify the following<sup>1</sup>:

- existing shoreline conditions affecting the shorelines and relevant natural process,
- reasonably foreseeable future development and use of the shoreline, and
- the beneficial effect of any established regulatory programs under other local state and federal laws.

### 1.2 METHODOLOGY

This document was generated to address the Cumulative Impact Analysis requirements of the Shoreline Master Program Guidelines, as defined by WAC 173-26 and identified in Section 1.1. The foundation of this document is provided by other SMP update analyses including the Shoreline Inventory and Characterization, the Draft SMP including environmental designations, policies and regulations, and the Shoreline Restoration Plan. In addition to these reference documents, this analysis also contains an assessment of shoreline use to identify reasonably foreseeable future development. The areas identified for reasonably foreseeable future development are primarily focused on new development.

Analysis of redevelopment potential is only provided in areas where no new development can reasonably occur, such as within Marine Reach 2 which coincides with the downtown core of Poulsbo. The generalized understanding throughout the document is that the level of impact associated with foreseeable development will be dependent upon the extent of new development versus redevelopment. During redevelopment, there may be small changes (increase or decrease) in characteristics such as armoring type and elevation or area impervious surface. These changes would affect function at similarly small scales. New development, which could include new armoring or structures in upland or aquatic areas, would likely result in relatively greater impacts to function.

---

<sup>1</sup> The items contained within this bulleted list are summarized from WAC 173-26-186(8)(d)(i-iii).

This approach is designed to address the requirement outlined in WAC 173-26-186(8)(d) and Ecology's draft SMP Handbook Chapters 17 *Cumulative Impacts Analysis* and Chapter 4 *No Net Loss of Shoreline Ecological Functions* (Ecology 2010).

The cumulative impacts analysis provides quantitative data describing existing conditions and ecological function as available (e.g., percent of shoreline armoring), but the overall the analysis is largely qualitative. The analysis is presented in both narrative and matrix form in order to provide the reader with detailed information by reach (narrative) and to easily summarize and compare information across City shorelines (summary matrix).

### **1.3 REPORT ORGANIZATION**

This report is organized in a reach-based framework that builds upon analyses in the Inventory and Characterization document.

Section 1 provides background information on the Shoreline Management Act requirements, the methodology utilized to generate the document, and general information on report organization.

Sections 2 through 6 provide a qualitative, narrative-based review of potential cumulative impacts organized by reach and discusses how the anticipated impacts will be addressed by regulations specific to the environmental designation where the development will occur.

Section 7 is designed to supplement the analysis completed in sections 2 through 7 by providing information on general cumulative impact analysis items such as SMP policies and regulations that are assigned to all of the reaches within the City.

Section 8 provides information on how the City of Poulsbo is addressing incremental and unanticipated impacts associated with shoreline development.

Section 9 provides a summary table of the narrative information contained in Sections 2 through 6.

Section 10 provides information on the net impact of the proposed SMP on ecological function as well as a jurisdictional determination regarding no net loss.

Section 11 identifies all references utilized in the generation of this document.

Associated exhibits are located in an appendix at the end of the document.

## 2 MARINE REACH 1

Marine Reach 1 (MR1) corresponds to the City of Poulsbo's Fjord Drive planning segment. MR1 is located on the eastern side of Liberty Bay and extends from the south end of the Marine Science Center property to the end of the Poulsbo city/ UGA boundary.

### 2.1 EXISTING SHORELINE USE AND FUNCTIONS<sup>2</sup>

MR1 is characterized by single-family residential development, public parks, two private marinas, and a portion of the Port of Poulsbo marina facilities.

Shoreline armoring is located along 56 % of this reach. Hydrologic function, including water movement between the upland and nearshore as well as sediment transport, within this reach is likely limited due to the presence of bulkheads. In addition, the majority of the upland portion of the reach contains residential lawns that may reduce water storage as well as increase runoff rates, and may also act as point sources for contaminants such as fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides. Water quality and quantity within this reach is also likely impacted by the existing residential development including impacts resulting from impervious surfaces such as increased run-off rates and decreased water storage capability. The hydrologic function for this reach is considered to be low.

This reach also has low vegetative function. The natural shoreline has been highly altered by residential development and the majority of the vegetation is maintained yards with grass, gardens and ornamental shrubs. Very little to no overhanging shoreline vegetation or trees are present within this reach.

This reach has a low habitat function resulting from the highly impacted vegetation and hydrologic process as discussed above and contains no mapped habitat areas.

Based upon the ratings listed above, overall shoreline function within this reach is considered to be low.


### 2.2 REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

The majority of reasonably foreseeable future development for MR1 is limited to single family residences. MR1 has two (2) vacant parcels that are located, either completely or in-part, within the shoreline jurisdiction and three (3) developed parcels that are partially located within the shoreline jurisdiction and that could be potentially further subdivided. All of these properties are zoned for single-family residential development, RL, which allows for the development of 4 to 5 units per acre. No other new or intensified upland development is anticipated in this reach. Redevelopment of the parcels within this reach may also occur, but no plans for redevelopment are known at this time.

---

<sup>2</sup> Existing use and functions data for each reach is summarized from the material presented within the City of Poulsbo Shoreline Master Program Update: Inventory and Characterization document.

Future development may also include the creation of additional facilities or redevelopment of the Liberty Marina or Poulsbo Yacht Club, including over-water development; however, no such plans are known at this time. In addition, future development may also include development of a multi-modal public access path (e.g. pedestrian and bicycle transport) for Net Shed Park; however, it is not on the current Parks 10-year development plan.



## Potential Impacts to Function Resulting from Foreseeable Development:

The parcels which may be subject to future development are located on the east side of Fjord Drive and are separated from the shoreline by a road and a residential development that are directly adjacent to Liberty Bay. As such, potential impact to function from the foreseeable future development is somewhat reduced as compared to impacts that may occur from new development directly adjacent to the shoreline.

In general, hydrology and water quality/quantity functions may be impacted as development results in an increased number of residential footprints, associated impervious surface areas and associated surface water run-off rates.

Vegetation function within this reach may experience slight levels of further degradation resulting from clearing associated with future development activities. However, due to the parcels' distance from the shoreline, it is unlikely that these parcels provide the majority of the normally anticipated vegetation function, such as growth of large trees for shoreline large woody debris recruitment and/or removal finer sediments and inputs through modification precipitation, surface, and groundwater flows.

In general, habitat functions within this reach may experience slight levels of further degradation as future development occurs and results in increased impervious surfaces and removal of existing areas of vegetation. In addition, increases to night time illumination from future residential development may also impact habitat functionality. However, as the parcels that have been identified as potential future development sites are not connected directly to the shoreline or mapped habitat, it is likely that the impacts to habitat will be highly localized and focused on urbanized wildlife species, such as squirrels, raccoons, and songbirds.

### **2.3 SMP POLICIES AND REGULATIONS CREATED TO ADDRESS POTENTIAL IMPACTS<sup>3</sup>**

Based upon the existing shoreline functions within MR1 as identified within the Inventory and Characterization document, the majority of the length of the reach has been designated as Shoreline Residential-1 (SR-1) and Shoreline Residential-2 (SR-2) as shown on the Official Shoreline Map.

The SR-1 environment includes those areas of the shorelands waterward of the established shoreline buffer, and/or on the water side of "buffer interruptions" such as major roads. The SR-2 environment includes those areas of the shorelands outside of the established shoreline buffer, and/or on the upland side of "buffer interruptions," such as major roads. Within these areas, new residential development is permitted according to the applicable shoreline and zoning development standards, with an emphasis on transition from the preservation-oriented focus of SR-1 to adjacent residential development located outside of the shoreline jurisdiction. Regulations specific to the SR designations include the following:

---

<sup>3</sup> The Cumulative Impacts Analysis is focused on impacts to shoreline functions such as hydrology (water quality/quantity), vegetation and habitat. Therefore, the SMP policies and regulations presented within this section are specific to those items of code that address shoreline functions and do not address shoreline concerns that are not related to function, including but not limited to items such a public access and view.

### **16.08.200 Land Division and Boundary Line Adjustments**

*The creation of new lots or altered lots through subdivision or boundary line adjustment shall comply with the following requirements, in addition to the requirements of Title 17:*

*A. New lots are prohibited in the following circumstances when the lot would not be able to accommodate development or provide a sufficient buildable area consistent with the zoning and environment designation of the lot:*

- 1. Where a new lot would not have sufficient buildable area outside geologically hazardous areas;*
- 2. Where a new lot would be entirely located within the A, N, UC or SR-1 environment, or that would not have sufficient buildable area located outside of these environments;*
- 3. Where a new lot would require a critical areas permit or variance to obtain a sufficient buildable area;*
- 4.. Where a new lot would be located entirely within the 100 year floodplain adjacent to the shoreline or streams within the shoreline jurisdiction, or would not have a sufficient buildable area located outside of the 100 year floodplain.*

*B. In the SR-2 and HI environments, new lots shall contain sufficient buildable area located outside of the shoreline buffer and buffer setback to accommodate development consistent with the environment designation and zoning.*

### **16.08.210 Lot Coverage by Buildings and Structures**

*A. SR-1 environment: From 0 to 125 feet from the OHWM, maximum lot coverage shall be 35%. In all other areas, maximum lot coverage shall be 50%.*

*B. SR-2 environment: Maximum lot coverage shall be 50%.*

### **16.08.220 Height Regulations**

*B. SR-1 environment: Maximum height of a primary structure shall be 35 feet unless a lower height is required in a Shoreline Variance. Maximum height on an accessory detached structure shall be 20 feet.*

*C. SR-2 environment: Maximum height of a primary structure shall be 35 feet.*

### **16.08.230 Residential Land Uses**

*A. Single-family residences are the preferred shoreline residential use in the SR-1 and SR-2 environments per RCW 90.58.020. However, a multi-family development with up to four units per building (development in a duplex, triplex, quadruplex or townhouse style) may be permitted within the SR-2 environment if consistent with the underlying zoning requirements and if no variances, exceptions or conditional use permits would be required.*

C. *Residential density.*

1. *In the SR-1 environment, no residential densities are established, as development of new or additional residential units in this environment is not permitted except through a Shoreline Variance.*

2. *In the SR-2 environment, residential density shall be a minimum of 4 dwelling units per acre and a maximum of 5 dwelling units per acre.*

The reasonably foreseeable future development identified within this reach will occur on properties that are designated SR-2. The specific regulations listed above have been created to address the potential impacts that may result from development including, but not limited to ensuring that hydrologic functions are protected by limiting building coverage, vegetative functions are protected by requiring buildable area outside the shoreline setbacks/buffers, and aesthetic impacts and overall development intensity are limited by residential development types and building heights.

Two parcels within this reach are City parks - Net Shed Park and Oyster Plant Park. Oyster Plant Park, which lies entirely within the shoreline buffer, is designated Natural (N). Net Shed Park is nearly all designated N, but a small portion outside the buffer and setback is designated Urban Conservancy (UC). The purpose of the N designation is to protect and restore shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence, or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions that are sensitive to proposed impacts from human use, and this designation is applied from 0-100 ft of the OHWM. The Urban Conservancy (UC) shoreline environment preserves existing natural landforms and native shoreline vegetation as much as possible, while promoting public shoreline access, views and recreation along with continuance of existing developed uses, and this designation is applied from 100-200 ft of the OHWM. The “split designation” on Net Shed Park allows for a balance between shoreline conservation and existing recreational development and use.

There is no identified reasonably foreseeable future development within the N or UC designations within this reach. There is no identified reasonably foreseeable future development within the N or UC designations within this reach. As such, the regulations identified for the N and UC designations would be utilized to protect existing function in the event that unforeseen development or redevelopment occurs within this designation of the reach.

In addition to the specific environmental designations listed above, Section 7 of this document addresses SMP provisions that are globally assigned to the entire shoreline area. These regulations have also been designed to address the potential impacts to shoreline function in this reach as identified in Section 2.3.

Add N and UC regs

### 3 MARINE REACH 2

Marine Reach 2 (MR2) corresponds to the City of Poulsbo's Downtown Core Planning Segment. MR2 is located on the eastern side of Liberty Bay and is located between American Legion Park and the Marine Science Center.

#### 3.1 EXISTING SHORELINE USE AND FUNCTIONS

MR2 is characterized by commercial development, primarily commercial/retail and offices, and other public spaces, such as public assembly, parks, and parking, and coincides with the oldest portion of Poulsbo.

Due to the extensive commercial development in this reach, hydrologic function, including water quality and quantity, within this reach is considered low. The majority of the shoreline is armored (75%) preventing water and sediment movement between the upland and marine waters. In addition, water quality and quantity within this reach is likely impacted by the existing commercial development which has resulted in nearly complete impervious surface cover throughout the reach.

Vegetative function within this reach is also low. As noted, the majority of the parcels within this reach are developed and contain impervious surfaces that extend to the shoreline. In addition, this reach has a low habitat function resulting from the nearly complete impervious surface coverage and limited vegetation as described above and contains no mapped habitat areas.

Based upon the rating listed above, overall shoreline function within this reach is considered to be low.

#### 3.2 REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

MR2 is nearly completely "built out", meaning that nearly all of the areas in which building may be permitted are covered by existing buildings, impervious surfaces (roads and parking lots) and/or artificial landscaping. As such, reasonably foreseeable future development is limited to redevelopment.

Future development may also include additional facilities for the Port of Poulsbo, including over-water development; however, no such plans are known at this time.

*Note: As there are no known development areas within this reach, a Potential Future Development Map has not been generated for this reach.*

#### 3.3 POTENTIAL IMPACT TO FUNCTION RESULTING FROM FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT

The existing hydrologic functionality, including water quality/quantity functions, of this reach is compromised by the fully built out nature of this reach. Water quality and quantity may be further impacted if coverage of the remaining vegetation areas with impervious surfaces is allowed to occur in conjunction with redevelopment activities.

Only minimal amounts of vegetation exist within this reach, including the grass and landscaping of Muriel Williams Waterfront Park and a narrow strip of primarily invasive species vegetation along

the shoreline of the lots at the northern extent of this reach. Intact shoreline vegetation is so limited that it is unlikely to provide any significant function except at a very localized scale (i.e., shading immediately below any overhanging vegetation). Potential future redevelopment is not anticipated to impact the existing vegetative function.

Due to the “built out” quality of this reach and the negligible amount of existing habitat, potential future redevelopment is not anticipated to impact the existing habitat function.

This reach has the least potential for restoration relative to other shoreline reaches within the City. Its function as the downtown core as well as the existing structures and water dependent uses prevent substantial restoration of function.

### **3.4 SMP POLICIES AND REGULATIONS CREATED TO ADDRESS POTENTIAL IMPACTS**

Based upon the existing functions within Marine Reach 2, the entire length of the reach has been designated as High Intensity, as identified on the Official Shoreline Map. The purpose of the High Intensity (HI) designation is to provide for areas of moderate commercial and mixed commercial-residential development. This allows for optimum use of shoreline areas that are presently developed with commercial-type uses, while protecting remaining shoreline ecological functions from further degradation. Regulations specific to the HI designation includes the following:

#### **16.08.200 Land Division and Boundary Line Adjustments**

*B. In the SR-2 and HI environments, new lots shall contain sufficient buildable area located outside of the shoreline buffer and buffer setback to accommodate development consistent with the environment designation and zoning.*

#### **16.08.210 Lot Coverage by Buildings and Structures**

*C. HI environment: From 0 to 125 feet from the OHWM, maximum lot coverage shall be 50%. From 125-200 feet from the OHWM, maximum lot coverage shall be 80% if the property is within the downtown core and 50% in all other areas.*

#### **16.08.220 Height Regulations**

*D. HI environment: Within 125 feet of the OHWM, maximum height shall be 25 feet. In other areas, maximum height shall be 35 feet.*

As noted in the text above, this reach is considered to be “built out” and any future development would be focused on redevelopment of existing structures. Enhancement of shoreline functions in this reach, such as improvements to water quality/quantity could be accomplished through adherence to the SMP regulations.

In addition to the specific environmental designations listed above, Section 7 of this document addresses SMP provisions that are globally assigned to the entire shoreline area. These regulations have also been designed to address the potential impacts to shoreline function in this reach as identified in Section 3.3.

## 4 MARINE REACH 3

Marine Reach 3 (MR3) corresponds to the City of Poulsbo's Front Street planning segment. MR3 is located on the eastern side of Liberty Bay and extends from Lindvig Way to American Legion Park.

### 4.1 EXISTING SHORELINE USE AND FUNCTIONS

MR3 is characterized by single and multi-family residential development, a city park, and limited commercial development within the northern portion of the reach.

Hydrologic function, including water quality and water quantity functions, within this reach is medium. The reach contains only moderate amounts of shoreline armoring (27 %) and the majority of the upland contains multiple-canopied vegetation which allows for higher amounts of water storage and treatment than found with reaches where the majority of the land is covered by impervious surfaces.

Vegetative function within this reach is also considered to be medium. As noted above, the majority of the upland contains multiple-canopied vegetation. However, the parcels located adjacent to the northern end of the reach are almost completely covered by impervious surfaces.

Due to the minimal levels of mapped habitat and in consideration of the existing level of hydrologic and vegetation function, the habitat function rating for this reach is medium.

Based upon the ratings listed above, overall shoreline function within this reach is considered to be medium.

### 4.2 REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

MR3 has one (1) undeveloped parcel within the shoreline zone, located on the west side of Front Street. Because of the overall topography (steep bluff critical area), constrained access, and limited "buildable zone" area, no new subdivisions on the west (waterward) side of Front Street will be allowed. It is likely that development of the remaining undeveloped parcel will require use of the "reasonable use" provision in PMC 16.08.190(C). No other new or intensified development is anticipated within this reach. Redevelopment of the parcels within this reach may also occur, but no plans for redevelopment are known at this time.


# Shoreline Master Program Front Street Segment Map Potential Future Development Map

## Legend

-  City Limits
-  Urban Growth Area
-  Shoreline
-  100-Foot Shoreline Buffer
-  100-Ft Buffer + 25-Ft Setback
-  200-Foot Shoreline Jurisdiction Zone

## Front Street Segment

-  Building Footprints
-  Properties with Future Development Potential
-  Portion of Property between 125-200 Ft



Primary Map Sources & Original Scales: Kitsap County Assessor's Tax Maps 1:12,000, Kitsap County IT, GIS Division. (Downloaded May 2011), Building Footprints, Kitsap County GIS. (Downloaded 10/16/09), updated by Planning.

City of Poulsbo Planning Department GIS  
Printed on June 16, 2011



This shoreline map series is intended for general shoreline planning. These maps are schematic representations of physical features, infrastructure, and land ownership boundaries. The map information was derived from available public records and existing sources, not from surveys. Studies may be necessary with project review to verify information.

### 4.3 POTENTIAL IMPACT TO FUNCTION RESULTING FROM FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT

The existing hydrologic functionality, including water quality/quantity functions, of this reach may be impacted if additional bulkheads are permitted in this reach. In addition, water quality and quantity may be impacted if increases to impervious surfaces are allowed to occur.

Some reduction to vegetation function within this reach may occur as a result of the development of the previously-mentioned vacant parcel for single-family or multi-family development. Habitat functions within this reach may experience slight levels of further degradation as future development occurs, especially if combined with increases to impervious surfaces and reductions to shoreline vegetation.

#### SMP Policies and Regulations Created To Address Anticipated Impacts

Based upon the existing functions within MR3, the length of the reach has been divided into Shoreline Residential (the majority is in the SR-1 sub-designation, with a very small amount in the SR-2 sub-designation), Urban Conservancy, Natural and High Intensity designations, as identified on the Official Shoreline Map.

For residentially-zoned properties in this reach, the area west of Front Street is designated SR-1 and the area east of Front Street is designated SR-2. The purpose of the Shoreline Residential (SR) designation is to accommodate residential development that is consistent with the SMP policies; protection of ecological functions and natural habitat, and restoration where feasible; and provision of appropriate public physical access and recreational uses. This is consistent with the SMP regulations establishing the SR-1 environment from 0-125 ft upland of the OHWM, and/or on the water side of “buffer interruptions” such as major roads (Front Street). The SR-2 environment includes those areas of the shorelands outside of the established shoreline buffer, and/or on the upland side of “buffer interruptions”. Within these areas, new residential development is permitted according to the applicable shoreline and zoning development standards, with an emphasis on transition from the preservation-oriented focus of SR-1, to balanced development within SR-2, and adjacent residential development located outside of the shoreline jurisdiction.

The N and UC designations apply to American Legion Park. The purpose of the N designation is to protect and restore shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence, or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions that are sensitive to proposed impacts from human use, and this designation is applied from 0-100 ft of the OHWM. The Urban Conservancy (UC) shoreline environment preserves existing natural landforms and native shoreline vegetation as much as possible, while promoting public shoreline access, views and recreation along with continuance of existing developed uses, and this designation is applied from 100-200 ft of the OHWM. The “split designation” for American Legion Park allows for a balance between shoreline conservation and existing recreational development and use.

There is no identified reasonably foreseeable future development within the N or UC designations within this reach. As such, the regulations identified for the N and UC designations would be utilized to protect existing function in the event that unforeseen development or redevelopment occurs within this designation of the reach. **Add N and UC regs**

was applied to this area to protect the existing shoreline functionality. Additionally, there is no identified reasonably foreseeable future development within the N designation within this reach. As such, the regulations for the N designation would be utilized to protect existing function in the event that unforeseen development or redevelopment occurs within this designation of the reach.

The reasonably foreseeable future development identified within this reach will occur within properties that are part of the SR designation. The specific regulations listed above have been created to address the potential impacts that may result from development including, but not limited to ensuring that hydrologic functions are protected by limiting impervious surfaces, vegetative functions are protected by requiring buildable area outside the shoreline setbacks/buffers, and reducing light impacts along the shoreline.

The HI designation within this reach is located in the northernmost two parcels of this reach. The purpose of the High Intensity (HI) designation is to provide for areas of moderate commercial and mixed commercial-residential development. This allows for optimum use of shoreline areas that are presently developed with commercial-type uses, while protecting habitat and ecological functions from further degradation.

There is no identified reasonably foreseeable future development within the HI designation within this reach. As such, the regulations for the HI designation would be utilized to protect existing function in the event that currently unforeseen development, such as redevelopment, occurs within this designation of the reach.

In addition to the specific environmental designations listed above, Section 7 of this document addresses SMP provisions that are globally assigned to the entire shoreline area. These regulations have also been designed to address the potential impacts to shoreline function in this reach as identified in Section 4.3.

#### **16.08.200      *Land Division and Boundary Line Adjustments***

*The creation of new lots or altered lots through subdivision or boundary line adjustment shall comply with the following requirements, in addition to the requirements of Title 17:*

*A.      New lots are prohibited in the following circumstances when the lot would not be able to accommodate development or provide a sufficient buildable area consistent with the zoning and environment designation of the lot:*

- 1. Where a new lot would not have sufficient buildable area outside geologically hazardous areas;*
- 2. Where a new lot would be entirely located within the A, N, UC or SR-1 environment, or that would not have sufficient buildable area located outside of these environments;*
- 3. Where a new lot would require a critical areas permit or variance to obtain a sufficient buildable area;*

4.. *Where a new lot would be located entirely within the 100 year floodplain adjacent to the shoreline or streams within the shoreline jurisdiction, or would not have a sufficient buildable area located outside of the 100 year floodplain.*

B. *In the SR-2 and HI environments, new lots shall contain sufficient buildable area located outside of the shoreline buffer and buffer setback to accommodate development consistent with the environment designation and zoning.*

**16.08.210 Lot Coverage by Buildings and Structures**

A. *SR-1 environment: From 0 to 125 feet from the OHWM, maximum lot coverage shall be 35%. In all other areas, maximum lot coverage shall be 50%.*

B. *SR-2 environment: Maximum lot coverage shall be 50%.*

**16.08.220 Height Regulations**

B. *SR-1 environment: Maximum height of a primary structure shall be 35 feet unless a lower height is required in a Shoreline Variance. Maximum height on an accessory detached structure shall be 20 feet.*

C. *SR-2 environment: Maximum height of a primary structure shall be 35 feet.*

**16.08.230 Residential Land Uses**

A. *Single-family residences are the preferred shoreline residential use in the SR-1 and SR-2 environments per RCW 90.58.020. However, a multi-family development with up to four units per building (development in a duplex, triplex, quadruplex or townhouse style) may be permitted within the SR-2 environment if consistent with the underlying zoning requirements and if no variances, exceptions or conditional use permits would be required.*

C. *Residential density.*

1. *In the SR-1 environment, no residential densities are established, as development of new or additional residential units in this environment is not permitted except through a Shoreline Variance.*

2. *In the SR-2 environment, residential density shall be a minimum of 4 dwelling units per acre and a maximum of 5 dwelling units per acre.*

**16.08.200 Land Division and Boundary Line Adjustments**

B. *In the SR-2 and HI environments, new lots shall contain sufficient buildable area located outside of the shoreline buffer and buffer setback to accommodate development consistent with the environment designation and zoning.*

**16.08.210 Lot Coverage by Buildings and Structures**

C. *HI environment: From 0 to 125 feet from the OHWM, maximum lot coverage shall be 50%. From 125-200 feet from the OHWM, maximum lot coverage shall be 80% if the property is within the downtown core and 50% in all other areas.*

**16.08.220 Height Regulations**

D. *HI environment: Within 125 feet of the OHWM, maximum height shall be 25 feet. In other areas, maximum height shall be 35 feet.*

## 5 MARINE REACH 4

Marine Reach 4 (MR4) corresponds to the City of Poulsbo's Estuary planning segment. MR4 is located to the north of Lindvig Way and includes the tidally influenced (estuarine) mouth of Dogfish Creek at the head of Liberty Bay and associated stream and wetland areas.

### 5.1 EXISTING SHORELINE USE AND FUNCTIONS

The majority of the shoreline of this reach coincides with Fish Park, but the reach also includes single-family residential development and limited commercial development near the intersection of Bond Rd and Lindvig Way.

Hydrologic function of this reach is considered to be high owing to low levels of development and shoreline armoring. Water quality and quantity functions within this reach are likely to be more intact than the other reaches within this jurisdiction due to the relatively lower amounts of shoreline armoring and higher amounts of native vegetation.

Vegetation function of this reach is also considered medium. The majority of the reach is vegetated and is the most vegetated of the reaches within the City of Poulsbo. Portions of the reach have been notably modified including a single family residence and areas of limited commercial development with associated grass yards and other ornamental landscaping. The majority of the reach includes upland areas of multiple canopied vegetation as well as areas of overhanging vegetation including deciduous trees and shrubs.

This reach is categorized as providing a medium level of habitat. However, with the existing function and the planned habitat restoration within Fish Park already underway, this reach provides the highest amount of habitat function of all the reaches within the City. This is due to the relatively undisturbed hydraulic, vegetative, and habitat function within the reach and the location of the reach within the estuarine/intertidal portion of Liberty Bay at the mouth of Dogfish Creek. It is anticipated that the addition of habitat area and function within the reach will be provided as the Fish Park restoration plan, which includes the Dogfish Creek estuary, is implemented. The City also has proposed to acquire an additional shoreline property adjacent to Bond Rd and add this area to Fish Park, thus increasing opportunities for preservation and restoration in this reach.

Based upon the ratings listed above, overall shoreline function within this reach is considered to be medium high.


### 5.2 REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

Two (2) developed parcels on the east side of Bond Road are partially within the shoreline jurisdiction and could potentially be further subdivided under existing zoning designations. However, the steep topography and limited access to these parcels may constrain future development.

The remainder of MR4 is almost all in public parkland (Fish Park). The City is currently in the process of improving Fish Park's public access points and public recreation facilities, such as

trails and overlooks, but no other new or intensified development is currently anticipated within the park.

Redevelopment of the parcels within this reach may also occur, but no plans for redevelopment are known at this time.



### **5.3 POTENTIAL IMPACT TO FUNCTION RESULTING FROM FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT**

The existing hydrologic functionality, including water quality/quantity functions, of this reach may be compromised if development occurs on parcels directly adjacent to the shoreline. This reach contains estuary wetland areas which are known to provide high levels of shoreline and habitat function, but these areas can be adversely impacted by development. Water quality and quantity may also be impacted if unmitigated increases to impervious surfaces are allowed to occur.

Similar to hydrologic function, vegetative function could be compromised if development occurs on parcels directly adjacent to the shoreline. However, the parcels identified for foreseeable future development are separated from the shoreline by Bond Road NE and are anticipated to be limited by available access and steep topography. As such it is anticipated that vegetation functionality directly adjacent to the shoreline will not be impacted by future development. The vegetation function of the portions of this reach adjacent to the shoreline is more intact than the other reaches within the City. Unanticipated redevelopment should be prevented from impacting intact shoreline vegetation function.

In addition, future development is not anticipated to impact shoreline habitat function as it is separated from the shoreline by Bond Road NE. However, redevelopment adjacent to the shoreline should be prevented from impacting intact habitat function.

### **5.4 SMP POLICIES AND REGULATIONS CREATED TO ADDRESS ANTICIPATED IMPACTS**

Based upon the existing functions within MR4, the length of the reach has been divided into Urban Conservancy, Shoreline Residential, and Natural designations as identified on the official shoreline map.

The three southeastern most lots within this reach are designated Urban Conservancy (UC). The purpose of the UC designation is to achieve sustainable resource use, by preserving the natural landforms and shoreline vegetation as much as possible, while promoting public shoreline access, views and recreation. The SMP provides specific regulations for the UC designation that limit lot coverage to the existing amount on site at the time of adoption of the SMP (PMC 16.08.220(C)) and ensuring buildable area outside of the shoreline buffers for created lots (PMC 16.08.210).

No reasonably foreseeable future development has been identified in the UC designation of this reach. As such, the UC regulations would apply to redevelopment only.

The lots within this reach that are designated Shoreline Residential-2 (SR-2) are located on the eastern side of Bond Street. The purpose of the SR-2 designation is to accommodate residential development that is consistent with the SMP policies; protection of ecological functions and natural habitat, and restoration where feasible; and provision of appropriate public physical access and recreational uses. Regulations specific to the SR-2 designation include the following:

## *Regulations*

The anticipated future development within this reach is located on parcels that are in the SR designation. However, as noted in Section 5.2, the parcels within this shoreline designation are constrained by steep topography and limited access and as a result future development of these parcels may be limited or may not occur.

The Natural environmental designation within this reach coincides with Fish Park. The purpose of the N designation is to protect and restore shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence, or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions that are sensitive to proposed impacts from human use.

There is no future development anticipated in Fish Park beyond current efforts to improve existing public access and recreation facilities. Adherence to the regulations listed above, will assist in maintaining shoreline functionality in these areas.

As noted in the Section 5.3 of this document, redevelopment adjacent to the shoreline within this reach may adversely impact function, especially in the estuary area where Dogfish Creek flows into Liberty Bay. As such, in addition to the specific environmental designation code outlined above, the shorelines within this reach that are adjacent to Dogfish Creek are subject to the following additional protective measures, including an increase of the required buffer to 150 feet (SP-18) and the prohibition of realignment and re-channelization of Dogfish Creek (SP-19).

In addition to the specific environmental designations listed above, Section 7 of this document addresses SMP provisions that are globally assigned to the entire shoreline area. These regulations have also been designed to address the potential impacts to shoreline function in this reach as identified in Section 5.3.

## 6 MARINE REACH 5

Marine Reach 5 (MR5) corresponds to the City of Poulsbo's Western Shoreline planning segment. MR5 is located on the western side of Liberty Bay and extends from Lindvig Way south to the end of the Poulsbo City/UGA boundary.

### 6.1 EXISTING SHORELINE USE AND FUNCTIONS

MR5 is characterized by commercial/retail and offices, two multifamily residential parcels, multiple single-family residential parcels, and parks. The development of this area reflects more recent annexations into the City, and/or incorporations into the designated Urban Growth Area, than the older development on the east side of Liberty Bay. The reach also includes a higher percentage of undeveloped and underdeveloped parcels than the other reaches within the jurisdiction.

Despite the larger number of undeveloped parcels within this reach, hydrologic function within this reach is low. The majority of the upland portion of the reach contains residential lawns that are likely to impact water storage and may also act as point sources for contaminants such as fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides. Water quality and quantity within this reach is likely impacted by the existing residential development including impacts due to impervious surfaces. Within the unincorporated UGA and on several City parcels, residences use onsite septic waste disposal systems, which is also likely to affect water quality.


Due to the level of alteration to the vegetation, the vegetation function of this reach is considered to be low. Vegetation within this reach is primarily comprised of landscaped vegetation associated with single family residences including maintained yards with grass, gardens and ornamental shrubs. Water quality and quantity within this reach may also be impacted by the modifications to vegetation within this reach.

Due to the minimal levels of mapped habitat and in conjunction with the habitat disturbance presented by the reduced hydrologic and vegetation functions, the habitat function rating for this reach is low.

Based upon the ratings listed above, overall shoreline function within this reach is considered to be low.

### 6.2 REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

MR5 has eight (8) vacant single-family parcels, and two (2) developed parcels that could potentially be further subdivided, located partially within the shoreline jurisdiction. The zoning is RL, which allows for 4 to 5 dwelling units per acre, and future development would be single-family homes. No other new or intensified development is anticipated within this reach. Additionally, redevelopment of the parcels within this reach may also occur, including residential and commercial use, but no plans for redevelopment are known at this time.



### 6.3 POTENTIAL IMPACT TO FUNCTION RESULTING FROM FORESEEABLE DEVELOPMENT

In general, hydrology and water quality/quantity functions may be impacted as development results in an increased number of residential footprints and associated impervious surface areas and associated surface water run-off rates.

Vegetation and habitat functions within this reach may experience slight levels of further degradation as future residential development occurs.

### 6.4 SMP POLICIES AND REGULATIONS CREATED TO ADDRESS ANTICIPATED IMPACTS

Based upon the existing functions within Marine Reach 5, the length of the reach has been divided into High Intensity, Shoreline Residential, Urban Conservancy and Natural designations as identified on the Official Shoreline Map.

Future development is only anticipated for parcels located within the Shoreline Residential-2 (SR-2) sub-designation. The purpose of the Shoreline Residential (SR) designation is to accommodate residential development that is consistent with the SMP policies; protection of ecological functions and natural habitat, and restoration where feasible; and provision of appropriate public physical access and recreational uses. Regulations specific to the SR designation include the following:

#### Insert regs

The reasonably foreseeable future development identified within this reach will occur within SR-2 designated properties. The specific regulations listed above have been created to address the potential impacts that may result from development including, but not limited to ensuring that hydrologic functions are protected by limiting impervious surfaces, vegetative functions are protected by requiring buildable area outside the shoreline setbacks/buffers, and reducing light impacts along the shoreline.

The Urban Conservancy and Natural environmental designations within this reach coincide with a public park, Nelson Park, and areas utilized as park space, such as the area east of 3<sup>rd</sup> AVE with a public city trail. The purpose of the N designation is to protect and restore shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence, or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions that are sensitive to proposed impacts from human use. **UC** The SMP provides specific regulations for the N designation limiting lot coverage to 20 percent (PMC 16.08.220) and land division to ensure buildable space outside of the required shoreline buffers (PMC 16.08.210) to protect shoreline function.

There is no identified reasonably foreseeable future development within the N designation within this reach. As such, the regulations identified for the N designation as provided above would be utilized to protect existing function in the event that unforeseen development or redevelopment occurs within this designation of the reach.

The HI designation within this reach is located in the northernmost parcel of this reach. The purpose of the High Intensity (HI) designation is to provide for areas of moderate commercial

and mixed commercial-residential development. This allows for optimum use of shoreline areas that are presently developed with commercial-type uses, while protecting shoreline ecological functions from further degradation. The SMP provides specific regulations for the HI designation that limits land division to ensure buildable area outside of the shoreline buffer (PMC 16.08.210), limit lot coverage to a value between 50% and 80% (PMC 16.08.220(B)) and limit exterior lighting (PMC 16.08.350(C)).

There is no identified reasonably foreseeable future development within the HI designation within this reach. As such, the regulations identified for the HI designation would be utilized to protect existing function in the event that unforeseen development or redevelopment occurs within this designation of the reach

In addition to the specific environmental designations listed above, Section 7 of this document addresses SMP provisions that are globally assigned to the entire shoreline area. These regulations have also been designed to address the potential impacts to shoreline function in this reach as identified in Section 6.3.

## **7 GENERAL SHORELINE MANAGEMENT POLICIES AND REGULATIONS**

In addition to the regulations related to specific environmental designation, the SMP contains general policies and regulations that are applicable to all areas within the shoreline jurisdiction and are designed to protect shoreline functionality, prevent cumulative impacts from development, and ensure no net loss of ecologic function.

### **7.1 SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM POLICIES**

#### **7.1.1 Shoreline Environmental Designations**

Section A of the SMP Policies identifies all of the policies related to shoreline environmental designations.

The first policy within this section is focused on no net loss and is applicable to all shoreline designations requiring that:

*SP-1: New development and uses within the City's shoreline jurisdiction shall be appropriately mitigated to ensure no net loss of shoreline ecological values and functions.*

In this way, the City of Poulsbo sets a foundational policy that focuses on no net loss of shoreline ecological values and functions.

The remainder of Section A defines and provides policy for the environmental designations within the City. The majority of these designations, as well as how they protect shoreline functionality, have been addressed in the narrative analysis for each reach provided in the previous sections. In addition to the upland designations of High Intensity, Shoreline Residential, Natural, and Urban Conservancy, all area waterward of the OHWM are designated as "Aquatic". The Aquatic (A) designation includes all lands waterward of the ordinary high water mark, including private and public tidelands, state submerged lands, and areas designated as critical saltwater habitat. This designation includes policies that focus on the preservation and minimization of impact to nearshore ecological function and critical saltwater habitat areas (SP-14 and 15) and limits overwater and in-water construction (SP-16)

#### **7.1.2 Shoreline Habitat and Critical Areas**

Section B of the SMP Policies identifies City policies related to the protection of shoreline habitat and associated critical areas including critical saltwater habitat areas, as defined by WAC 173-26-221(2)(ii), and streams. These policies set the foundation for regulatory measures that will be taken to avoid impacts to shoreline functionality and mitigation for impacts that cannot be avoided.

The City's primary policy to protect shoreline function is the establishment of shoreline setbacks. Poulsbo's shoreline zone will be regulated as two distinct areas: (1) the first 125 feet from the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) containing the shoreline buffer and buffer setback areas, where no or little development will be allowed, and (2) from 125 feet to 200 feet from the

OHWM, where appropriate development may be allowed. Reduction to buffers and setbacks will only be permitted with Department of Ecology approval and only after the applicant demonstrates that the proposed reduction is combined with “*superior protection, mitigation and/or restoration measures that would be equal to or exceed the protective value of the standard buffer and setback, and result in no net loss of shoreline ecological values and functions*”.

To protect the higher levels of shoreline functionality and flood plain in the estuarine portion of Dogfish Creek, the City of Poulsbo has established a policy to assign a greater shoreline buffer to this area. Pursuant to SP-18, this area shall have a buffer that extends *either “(1) 150 feet on both sides of the creek, with an additional 25 foot structural setback on both sides as provided in the City’s Critical Ares Ordinance or as subsequently amended, or, (2) 25 feet on each side of the 100-year floodplain as shown on FEMA’s official flood maps for the City of Poulsbo, whichever is greater.”* Further protection to the natural stream functions of Dogfish Creek are provided by SP-19, which prevents stream realignment, rechannelization, the clearing of adjacent vegetation and LWD, and water withdrawals and diversion unless such actions are taken for habitat restoration and enhancement.

The City of Poulsbo has also defined polices regarding protection and prevention of cumulative impacts to “Critical saltwater habitat”. Critical Saltwater habitat as defined in WAC 173-26-221(2)(ii) includes spawning and holding areas for forage fish, such as herring, smelt and sand lance; shellfish beds; mudflats, intertidal habitats with vascular plants, and areas with which priority species have a primary association. Within Liberty Bay, areas of Critical Saltwater habitat include sand lance and smelt spawning areas as well as hardshell clam areas. Additionally, Liberty Bay aquatic areas and shorelines include a seal/sea lion haulout and eagle use buffer, and populations of anadromous fish including bull trout, chinook and chum salmon and rainbow trout, may frequent the bay and its streams. Maps of designated critical saltwater habitat areas are maintained by the City and included in the Comprehensive Plan and in the Shoreline Inventory to promote protection of these areas. Policies SP-26 through SP-30 provide limitations and increased review requirements on new development within and adjacent identified critical saltwater habitat areas. SP-31 requires that repair and reconstruction of existing legal structures mitigate adverse impacts to avoid net loss of ecological function.

Section B also establishes policy on general in-water and nearshore environment and use. SP-32 through SP-35 limit new over water construction to water dependent uses, limit the dredging and filling of tide and aquatic lands to habitat restoration and maintenance activities, and require alternative measures to hard shoreline armoring.

In addition to the shoreline buffer and setback requirements and restrictions to over and in water construction identified above, shoreline function, with specific focus on vegetation function, will be protected by the following policies:

- Existing native shoreline vegetation in an Aquatic area or along the shoreline shall be preserved and permanently protected, with limited exceptions (SP-40)
- Mitigation shall be required, if a project results in unavoidable impacts to shoreline

- vegetation, to ensure no net loss (SP-41)
- Projects within the shoreline zone shall include provisions for enhancement and/or restoration of native shoreline vegetation (SP-42)

Existing, native shoreline vegetation will also be preserved through the City's existing development regulations, including the Clearing and Grading Ordinance, Zoning Ordinance, Public Tree Ordinance, and Critical Areas Ordinance.

### **7.1.3 Water Quality**

Section C of the SMP polices document includes policies designed to protect water quality function within the shoreline reaches. Provisions include requiring new development to adhere to current stormwater planning, including low impact development where feasible, (SP-43 and 44); requiring development proposals to demonstrate that there will be no net loss of ecological function as a result of the proposal (SP-45); and requiring that new development and failing on-site sewage systems connect to city sewer (SP 46).

In addition to these requirements, SP-49 notes that the City shall work with local ports, marinas and yacht clubs to develop plans to reduce water quality impacts that occur as a result of boat operations and maintenance.

## **7.2 SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM REGULATIONS**

Utilizing the Policies identified in Section 7.1 of this document as well as the results of the Inventory and Characterization document, the following regulations have been generated to prevent cumulative impacts and provide the City with no net loss of ecological function.<sup>4</sup>

### **7.2.1 General Provisions**

Part I of the Master Program regulations identifies the authority, scope, purpose and definitions that guide the Shoreline Master Program. Within Part I, the purposes section (PMC 16.08.030), specifically address the protection of shoreline function. These purposes are summarized as follows:

- Ensure no net loss of ecological function.
- Protect state waters and associate fish and wildlife from adverse impact.
- To provide coordinated planning, which adheres to local, state and federal requirements, to prevent adverse impacts from unplanned development.

---

<sup>4</sup> This section only references regulations that address issues related to the protection of habitat function and the prevention of cumulative impacts and net loss. The draft SMP also includes regulations to address other items mandated by the SMA and guidance documents, such as public access and administrative processes, which are not covered within this document.

## **7.2.2 Regulations applying to all shoreline development and activities**

Building upon the General Provisions of Part I and the Shoreline Master Program policies, Part II of the Master Program Regulations focuses on requirements that apply to all development within the shoreline zone, including those related to mitigation and water quality. These requirements include standards for maintaining water quality, preventing disruption to shoreline resources and habitat, and requiring permit applications to be reviewed for long-term and regional effects. Proposals that cannot comply with the requirements are subject to denial by City review staff.

Part II (PMC 16.08.160) also provides specific water quality controls for stormwater, promotes the use of low impact development standards, as well as limitations to the application of pesticides, herbicides, and fertilizers as recommended in the Inventory and Characterization document to address possible impacts to hydrology and water quality/quantity functions based on anticipated future development.

## **7.2.3 Shoreline Activities Development and Uses**

The regulations in Part III focus on shoreline environment uses, standards for development and uses, and divisions. Uses that may impact the existing shoreline function of an environmental designation are prohibited. This section supports avoidance, minimization, and mitigation to impacts to shoreline function through the use of buffers and setbacks and lot size and coverage requirements. Specific regulations for residential, commercial, mixed, boating related, and park/recreational uses that support shoreline function are also provided.

Part III also contains increased buffer requirements of Dogfish Creek Estuary (PMC 16.08.220(A)), which will assist in maintaining existing shoreline function within the associated channel migration zones and also in avoiding avulsion, erosion, or flooding impacts. This increased buffer requirement was generated, in part, based on specific recommendation in within the Inventory and Characterization document.

## **7.2.4 Design Requirements**

Part IV regulates design within the shoreline including site and building design, lighting and the maintenance of native shoreline vegetation.

Part IV (PMC 16.08.330) contains specific native vegetation and landscaping requirements that require the preservation of existing vegetation and the creation of new areas of shoreline vegetation for new development as well as redeveloping areas as recommended in the Inventory and Characterization document to address possible impacts to shoreline vegetation functions based on potential future development.

### **7.2.5 Shoreline Modifications**

The regulations of Part V address shoreline modifications including shoreline stabilization, dredging, fill, and tree and vegetation removal in the shoreline buffer. As recommended in the

Inventory and Characterization document, all of the shoreline modification regulations require minimization of shoreline structures to the area necessary to perform the intended function and preference is given to shoreline modifications that have lesser impacts on ecological functions.

## 8 INCREMENTAL AND UNANTICIPATED IMPACTS

WAC 173-26-201(3)(d)(iii) requires that each jurisdiction avoids “*unanticipatable or uncommon impacts that cannot be reasonably identified at the time of master program development*”. In addition, the DOE SMP handbook recommends that the Cumulative Impacts Analysis include an evaluation of incremental impacts, which if not addressed can add up to larger cumulative impacts. However, it should be noted that there is no metric to define incremental or unanticipated impacts or those methods designed to address said impacts to determine efficacy.

Generally, the City will address impacts by requiring strict adherence to the SMP; thus reducing the amount of incremental impact due to things such as mitigation failure. In addition, areas of redevelopment will be required to improve shoreline functionality, such as creating new vegetative strips along the shoreline; thus resulting in a net habitat lift that would not occur if there were no such standards for redevelopment.

In addition, the City of Poulsbo will also seek out restoration projects both within the City’s jurisdiction as well as in conjunction with adjacent jurisdictions where appropriate to provide an overall lift to functionality and temporarily address incremental and unintended impacts until such time as metrics for these impacts are available.

9 SUMMARY TABLE

Existing Conditions	Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development and Anticipated impacts on Functions/ Processes	SMP Provisions	Other Restoration Programs <sup>1</sup>	Conclusion
<p><b>MR 1:</b> Existing development within this reach is primarily single family residential.</p> <p>Overall function is low. High amounts of modification to the natural shoreline in this reach through residential development, including shoreline armoring (56%) and removal of native vegetation have resulted in low hydrologic, vegetation, and habitat functionality. In addition, water quality and quantity is likely to have been impacted by development within this reach.</p> <p>This assessment generally correlates with the medium to high levels of</p>	<p><b>Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development:</b> Development of vacant or partially developed residential parcels including subdivisions not to exceed 4 to 5 units per acre is anticipated. Undeveloped parcels within this reach are separated from the shoreline by Fjord Street and parcels directly adjacent to the shoreline. Redevelopment may also occur in this reach.</p> <p>Overall land use is likely to remain residential.</p> <p><b>Effects on Functions/Processes:</b> The development of the anticipated single family</p>	<p>Reasonably foreseeable future development will occur within the Shoreline Residential designation of this reach.</p> <p>Specific regulations that address hydrology/water quality and quantity function include 16.08.210(c) and 16.08.220(a) which provide limitations to land division and lot coverage. All development</p>	<p>As identified in the Inventory and Characterization document, Reach specific opportunities to improve shoreline function include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removal of identified abandoned pilings within this reach (Exhibit S of the Inventory and Characterization document).</li> <li>• Removal of identified wood scraps/old dock within this reach (Exhibit S of the Inventory and</li> </ul>	<p>Foreseeable future development within this reach is separated from the shoreline by Fjord Road and other residential development. Anticipated impact to the shoreline resulting from this development is likely to be limited in nature and sufficiently addressed by SMP requirements.</p>

<p>disturbance to both controlling factors (high, medium) and dominant processes (high, medium) as assessed in the EKNA (Refer to Table 14 of the Inventory and Characterization Document).</p>	<p>residences/subdivisions may result in a degradation existing to water quality and quantity, through increased impervious surfaces and parcel density, as well as hydrology, vegetation, and habitat, resulting from clearing for a residence and residential appurtenances such as driveways and yards.</p>	<p>must be set back 125 feet from the shoreline which will protect shoreline vegetation and habitat function.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Characterization document).</li> <li>• Address documented soil contamination within this reach (Exhibit S of the Inventory and Characterization document).</li> <li>• Promote yard care techniques that are protective of water quality to the residents of this reach</li> </ul>	
<p><b>MR 2:</b> Existing development within this reach is primarily commercial.</p> <p>Overall function is low. The entire length of the reach has been modified through commercial development including shoreline armoring along the majority of the reach</p>	<p><b>Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development:</b> Anticipated future development within this reach is redevelopment of parcels with existing development.</p> <p>Overall land use is likely to remain commercial.</p>	<p>Reasonably foreseeable future development (redevelopment) is likely to occur within the High Intensity designation of this reach.</p>	<p>As identified in the Inventory and Characterization document, Reach specific opportunities to improve shoreline function include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removal of identified</li> </ul>	<p>Foreseeable future development within this reach is redevelopment of existing commercial parcels. SMP requirements include provisions for increasing vegetation adjacent</p>

<p>(75%), extensive impervious surfaces, and limited native vegetation have resulted in low hydrologic, vegetation and habitat functionality.</p> <p>This assessment generally correlates with the medium to high levels of disturbance to both controlling factors (high) and dominant processes (high, medium) as assessed in the EKNA (Refer to Table 15 of the Inventory and Characterization Document).</p>	<p><b>Effects on Functions/Processes:</b> Redevelopment may have an impact on existing water quality and quantity especially if development is allowed to extend into the remaining areas of vegetation.</p> <p>Existing vegetative and habitat functionality is minimal, if redevelopment including expansion into the remaining vegetation/habitat areas may eliminate these functions.</p>	<p>All development must be set back 125 feet from the shoreline which will protect shoreline vegetation function.</p>	<p>abandoned pilings within this reach (Exhibit S of the Inventory and Characterization document).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removal of identified wood scrap within this reach (Exhibit S of the Inventory and Characterization document).</li> </ul>	<p>to the shoreline and decreasing impervious surfaces. It is anticipated that adherence to these SMP regulations will result in a net lift to the existing marginal functionality within this reach.</p>
<p><b>MR 3:</b> Existing development within this reach is primarily single-family and multifamily residential as well as a small area of commercial development.</p> <p>Overall function is medium. Reach contains relatively moderate amounts of shoreline</p>	<p><b>Future Development:</b> Future development is anticipated to include only one single family residence. Redevelopment may also occur in this reach.</p> <p>Overall land use is likely to remain single family and multifamily residential.</p>	<p>Reasonably foreseeable future development will occur within the Shoreline Residential designation of this reach.</p>	<p>As identified in the Inventory and Characterization document, Reach specific opportunities to improve shoreline function include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removal of identified abandoned</li> </ul>	<p>Foreseeable future development within this reach is the development of one single family residence. Anticipated impact to the shoreline resulting from this development is likely to be limited in nature and</p>

<p>armoring (27%) and the majority of the reach contains native plant species. The lack of shoreline armoring and existence of native vegetation provides for higher levels of habitat functionality.</p> <p>This assessment generally correlates with the low to high levels of disturbance to both controlling factors (medium, low) and dominant processes (high, low) as assessed in the EKNA (Refer to Table 16 of the Inventory and Characterization Document).</p>	<p><b>Effects on Functions/Processes:</b></p> <p>The development of the anticipated single family residence may result in a degradation existing to water quality and quantity, through increased impervious surfaces, as well as hydrology, vegetation, and habitat, resulting from clearing for a residence and residential appurtenances such as driveways and yards.</p>	<p>Specific regulations that address hydrology/water quality and quantity function include 16.08.210(c) and 16.08.220(a) which provide limitations to land division and lot coverage.</p> <p>All development must be set back 125 feet from the shoreline which will protect shoreline vegetation function.</p>	<p>pilings within this reach (Exhibit S of the Inventory and Characterization document).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removal of identified scrap metal within this reach (Exhibit S of the Inventory and Characterization document).</li> </ul>	<p>sufficiently addressed by SMP requirements.</p>
<p><b>MR 4:</b> Existing development for the majority of this reach is a public park. However portions of the reach also include single-family</p>	<p><b>Future Development:</b></p> <p>Future development within the single family and commercial use areas are limited due to access</p>	<p>Reasonably foreseeable future development will occur within the Shoreline</p>		<p>Foreseeable future development within this reach is separated from the shoreline by Bond Road NE and may be</p>

<p>residential development, and limited commercial development.</p> <p>Overall function is medium high. Reach contains no mapped shoreline armoring (0%) and the majority of the reach contains native plant species. The lack of shoreline armoring and existence of native vegetation provides for higher levels of habitat functionality.</p> <p>This assessment generally correlates with the low levels of disturbance to both controlling factors (low) and dominant processes (low) as assessed in the EKNA (Refer to Table 17 of the Inventory and Characterization Document).</p>	<p>availability and parcel topography. Future development within the public park includes additions to public access points and public recreation facilities. Redevelopment may also occur in this reach.</p> <p>Overall land use is likely to remain park and single family residential.</p> <p><b>Effects on Functions/Processes:</b> The development of single family and commercial use areas may result in a degradation existing to water quality and quantity, through increased impervious surfaces, as well as hydrology, vegetation, and habitat, resulting from clearing for commercial uses, residences and residential appurtenances</p>	<p>Residential designation of this reach.</p> <p>Specific regulations that address hydrology/water quality and quantity function include 16.08.210(c) and 16.08.220(a) which provide limitations to land division and lot coverage.</p> <p>All development must be set back 125 feet from the shoreline which will protect shoreline vegetation function. Development adjacent to Dogfish</p>		<p>limited in nature due to steep topography and access limitations. Anticipated impact to the shoreline resulting from this development is likely to be limited in nature and sufficiently addressed by SMP requirements.</p>
--	---	---	--	--

	such as driveways, parking lots and yards.	Creek must be set back 150 feet.		
<p><b>MR5:</b> Existing development within this reach includes single and multifamily residential, commercial, and public parks development.</p> <p>Overall function is low. High amounts of modification to the natural shoreline in this reach through residential development; including shoreline armoring (56%) and removal of native vegetation have resulted in low hydrologic, vegetation, and habitat functionality.</p> <p>This assessment is somewhat inconsistent with the low to medium levels of disturbance to the controlling factors (low, medium) and dominant processes (low, medium) as</p>	<p><b>Future Development:</b> Future development may include subdivision and/or residential development of approximately 10 parcels within the shoreline jurisdiction. Redevelopment may also occur in this reach.</p> <p>Overall land use is likely to remain single and multifamily residential with areas of commercial and public parks.</p> <p><b>Effects on Functions/Processes:</b> The development of single and multi-family residences may result in a degradation existing to water quality and quantity, through increased impervious surfaces, as well as hydrology, vegetation, and habitat, resulting from</p>	<p>Reasonably foreseeable future development will occur within the Shoreline Residential designation of this reach.</p> <p>Specific regulations that address hydrology/water quality and quantity function include 16.08.210(c) and 16.08.220(a) which provide limitations to land division and lot coverage.</p> <p>All development must be set back 125 feet from the</p>		<p>Foreseeable future development includes development of approximately 10 parcels. However, 5 of these parcels are separated from the shoreline by roads and/or parcels that are immediately adjacent to the shoreline. Adherence to SMP regulations will minimize effects on shoreline functions and processes that may occur as a result of development.</p>

<p>assessed in the EKNA and are likely the result of differing methodologies related to the assessment of residential disturbance to shoreline vegetation (Refer to Table 18 of the Inventory and Characterization Document).</p>	<p>clearing for a residence and residential appurtenances such as driveways, parking lots and yards.</p>	<p>shoreline which will protect shoreline vegetation function.</p>		
---	--	--	--	--

1 For all reaches work at or waterward of the OHWM requires permits or approvals from one or more of the following state and federal agencies: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Washington State Department of Natural Resources, or Washington State Department of Ecology (PSM 16.08.130). Each of these regulatory agencies would apply shoreline mitigation requirements and design standards focused on minimizing adverse impacts and improving ecological function. In addition, development projects within the shoreline jurisdiction are also required to comply with PMC 16.20, Critical Areas as well as the City of Poulsbo’s Stormwater Manual.

## **10 SUMMARY OF NET IMPACT TO ECOLOGICAL FUNCTION**

As described in this document, the provisions of the draft SMP have been designed to maintain existing shoreline function, produce functional lift where feasible, and result in no net loss of ecological function as required by WAC 173-26-186(8)(d). As discussed in Section 7 of this document, the City of Poulsbo has worked to meet this standard through environmental designations, shoreline policies, and shoreline regulations.

Based upon the minimal amount of foreseeable future development, and the policies and regulations of the SMP, no net loss of ecological function within the City of Poulsbo is anticipated.

## 11 NO NET LOSS SUMMARY REPORT (ADDENDUM)

### 11.1 INTRODUCTION

One of the broad policy goals of the Shoreline Management Act (SMA) [RCW 90.58] is the protection of the natural resources and the ecologic functions and processes of the shoreline. The Shoreline Master Program Guidelines [WAC 173-26], which guide local jurisdictions as to how to implement the principals of the SMA, establish the concept of obtaining *no net loss* of shoreline function as the means of accomplishing the broad SMA policy goal of protection. The concept of *no net loss* is to maintain the existing condition of shoreline ecological function as the SMP is implemented by preventing new impacts from occurring. No net loss is also addressed by providing restoration activities to address existing impacts to shoreline function. The purpose of this summary report addendum is to provide a synopsis of how the City has, through the SMP update process, met the no net loss requirement identified in the guidelines.

### 11.2 CITY OF POULSBO'S SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM UPDATE TIMELINE

The Shoreline Master Program Update process is divided into phases and tasks. A brief outline of these phases and tasks, as well as when each task was completed by the City, is as follows:

**Phase 1: Preliminary Shoreline Jurisdiction and Public Participation Plan**

Task 1.1: Identify preliminary shoreline jurisdiction

Task 1.2: Develop public participation plan

Task 1.3: Demonstrate how Phase 1 complies with Guidelines: Checklist

**Completed June 2009**

**Phase 2: Shoreline Inventory, Analysis and Characterization**

Task 2.1: Complete shoreline inventory

Task 2.2: Conduct shoreline analysis

Task 2.2.1: Characterize ecosystem-wide processes

Task 2.2.2: Characterize shoreline functions

Task 2.2.3: Conduct shoreline use analysis and identify public access opportunities

Task 2.3: Prepare inventory and characterization report

Task 2.4: Demonstrate how Phase 2 complies with Guidelines: Checklist

**Completed June 2010**

**Phase 3: Environment Designation, Policy and Regulation Development, Cumulative Impacts Analysis**

Task 3.1: Conduct community visioning process

Task 3.2: General goals, policies, and regulations

Task 3.3: Develop environment designations

Task 3.4: Develop policies, regulations and standards for shoreline uses and modifications

Task 3.5: Develop administrative provisions

Task 3.6: Prepare cumulative impact analysis

Task 3.7: Demonstrate how Phase 3 complies with Guidelines: Checklist  
**Completed November 2010; Second SMP draft completed December 2010**

**Phase 4:** Restoration Plan, Revisit Phase 3 products –

Task 4.1: Prepare restoration plan **Completed March 2011**

Task 4.2: Revisit draft environment designations, policies, and regulations and finalize maps

Task 4.3: Demonstrate how no net loss (NNL) is achieved –

Task 4.4: Demonstrate how Phase 4 complies with Guidelines: Checklist  
**Completed June 2011**

**Phase 5:** Local Approval – *To be completed February 2012*

**Phase 6:** State Approval – *To be completed XXXX*

### **11.3 SYNOPSIS OF HOW NO NET LOSS IS ACHIEVED THROUGH THE SMP UPDATE PROCESS**

During the SMP update process, local jurisdictions accomplish the goal of achieving no net loss by generating multiple supporting documents in addition to the draft SMP document. The first supporting document generated identifies all of the available data related to the jurisdiction's shorelines and describes existing shoreline processes and functions within the jurisdiction. This document is called the Inventory and Characterization and establishes a baseline of shoreline function for the City. The baseline identified in the Inventory and Characterization document serves as a boundary for determining if no net loss is occurring within the jurisdiction. If analysis indicates that existing shoreline function has or will become worse, net loss has occurred. However, if analysis indicates that existing shoreline function has remained the same, or improved, the jurisdiction has accomplished the goal of no net loss.

After establishing a baseline for shoreline function within the inventory and characterization document, the jurisdiction develops Shoreline Master Program environmental designations, policies and regulations, including mitigation and enforcement requirements, designed to protect the shoreline ecologic functions identified in the Inventory and Characterization. For example, environmental designations are assigned based upon existing shoreline function and land use. Areas that are relative untouched are generally given a more restrictive designation whereas areas that have large amounts of shoreline development are given somewhat less restrictive designations. This serves to focus development in areas that have already experienced an impact to shoreline function and maintain shoreline function in the relatively intact areas of shoreline function. Policies and regulations are also developed based upon the existing data regarding what is necessary to protect shoreline function as well as the needs of the local jurisdiction.

Once a draft SMP is completed, another supporting document, known as the cumulative impacts analysis, is generated to ascertain whether or not the drafted SMP will accomplish no net loss of shoreline ecological function. As noted in the inventory and characterization description above, the goal of this analysis is to determine if the draft SMP will result in further impacts or in an improvement to the baseline. If the cumulative impacts analysis determines that net loss of shoreline function will occur, the City must revise the draft SMP in order to obtain the goal of no

net loss of ecologic function. If the cumulative impacts analysis determines that the draft SMP will result in no net loss of shoreline function, the SMP may proceed through the remaining update phases, including review by the City’s Planning Commission, City Council, and the Department of Ecology.

In some cases, the draft SMP regulations may not be enough to ensure no net loss of ecologic function. Impacts to shoreline function may still occur due to ongoing degradation from existing development, shoreline violations and similar issues. In order to address these issues, the local jurisdiction must generate a restoration plan. This supporting document builds upon the analysis completed during the characterization process by identifying areas that are best suited for restoration as well as possible restoration projects. Ideally, if the jurisdiction is able to complete the actions identified in the restoration plan, it will result in higher amounts of ecological function.

How no net loss is achieved through the shoreline update process is summarized in the following figure:




Figure 1: How no net loss of ecological function is achieved utilizing the SMP process (Figure Source: Washington State Department of Ecology website: <http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/shorelines/smp/handbook/Chapter4.pdf>)

## **11.4 RESULTS OF THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS GENERATED DURING THE CITY OF POULSBO SMP UPDATE PROCESS**

### **11.4.1 Inventory and Characterization**

The Inventory and Characterization that served to establish the baseline condition of the City's 3.93 miles of marine and estuary shoreline was completed in June 2010 (Grette Associates 2010a). During the characterization process, five shoreline reaches with varying levels of shoreline function were identified. These reaches were primarily based upon existing planning segments that were utilized by the City of Poulsbo Planning Department. As a result of this analysis process it was determined that two of the five reaches (MR1 and MR5) were primarily single family residential with low shoreline function that had been impacted by either adjacent roadway (MR1) or residential yard space (MR5). One of the reaches (MR3) contained single and multifamily residential but the shoreline function was more intact that found in the other residential reaches. The shoreline function of the reach was assigned a medium rating. One of the reaches (MR2) was noted to be more impacted by commercial development and impervious surfaces than any of the other reaches and was subsequently assigned a low shoreline function rating. Additionally, reach MR4 was determined to contain the most intact shoreline function within the City of Poulsbo and received a medium high shoreline function rating. Further summary information regarding the findings of the Inventory and Characterization process is also provided in Chapters 2 through 6 and the Chapter 9: Summary Matrix within this document.

The Inventory and Analysis document is available on the City of Poulsbo website at: <http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/shorelinemaps.htm>.

### **11.4.2 Cumulative Impacts Analysis**

As concluded in Chapter 10 of this document, the provisions of the draft SMP have been designed to maintain existing shoreline function, produce functional lift where feasible, and result in no net loss of ecological function as required by WAC 173-26-186(8)(d).

### **11.4.3 Restoration plan**

During the Inventory and Characterization task, the best areas for future development and restoration were identified. One of the City's primary ongoing restoration efforts is the continued improvement of habitat and public access within Fish Park on the Dogfish Creek estuary. However, as noted in both the Inventory and Characterization document and the Restoration plan, the City of Poulsbo is a largely built environment and re-establishment of pre-development conditions is not necessarily feasible or desirable. As such, the City is primarily focused on the incremental benefits of smaller-scale actions, such as shoreline revegetation or structure removal on the scale of individual residential lots.

The Restoration Plan is available on the City of Poulsbo website at: [http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/documents/draft\\_smp\\_restoration\\_plan\\_3\\_16\\_11.pdf](http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/documents/draft_smp_restoration_plan_3_16_11.pdf)

## 11.5 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND SMP UPDATE

### 11.5.1 Environmental Designations

The environmental designations were developed based on review of the existing shoreline function and land use patterns as identified during the Inventory and Characterization task and goals of the community as identified throughout the SMP update process. For example, areas primarily identified as commercial with a low amount of existing shoreline function during the analysis process were assigned a High Intensity designation and areas identified as generally having intact shoreline function were assigned a Natural designation. The City chose not to assign shoreline environmental designations per reach extent, but rather to assign the designations based upon the shoreline functions and land use of parcels within the reach. Although the method of dividing a reach in different shoreline designations is generally a greater effort than assigning a single designation per reach, breaking the reaches into smaller designation areas allowed the City avoid and/or reduce impacts to more intact habitat areas within a reach thereby allowing the City to achieve no net loss of ecologic function.

The six environmental designations with the City of Poulsbo are as follows:

High intensity The purpose of the High Intensity environment is to provide for areas of moderate commercial and mixed commercial-residential development. The overarching goal for this designation is to provide for optimum shoreline use in areas that are presently developed with commercial uses while also protecting shoreline ecological function.

Natural The purpose of the Natural environment is to protect and restore environment shoreline areas that are relatively free of human influence or that include intact or minimally degraded shoreline functions that are sensitive to proposed impact from human use and development.

Shoreline Residential The purpose of the Shoreline Residential environment is to accommodate residential development consistent with the SMP polices, to protect ecological function and natural habitat, and promote restoration where feasible and provide appropriate public physical access and recreational uses. This designation is divided into two sub-designations as follows:

Shoreline Residential 1 This designation includes areas of shorelands waterward of the established shoreline buffer, and/or on the water side of “buffer interruptions” such as major roads. The goal of these areas is to preserve the natural environment as far as possible. In addition, new development and enlargement of existing development within buffer areas is limited to reasonable use.

Shoreline Residential 2 This designation includes areas outside the establish shoreline buffer and/or on the upland side of interrupted buffers such as major roads. New residential development is permitted based on existing regulations but is also emphasized on transitioning from the preservation of the Shoreline Residential 1 designation to the residential development outside of the shoreline jurisdiction.

Urban Conservancy The purpose of the Urban Conservancy environment is to achieve sustainable resource use by preserving the natural landforms and shoreline vegetation as much as possible, while promoting public access, both view and physical, and recreation.

Aquatic The Aquatic designation includes all lands waterward of the Ordinary High Water Mark, including private and public tidelands, state submerged lands, and areas designated as critical saltwater habitat.

Complete descriptions of the shoreline environment designations are available on the City of Poulsbo web-site located at:  
[http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/documents/final\\_second\\_draft\\_policies.pdf](http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/documents/final_second_draft_policies.pdf).

### **11.5.2 Policies**

The policies addressed with the City of Poulsbo SMP were organized into the following headings:

- A. Policies in Other Documents and Ordinances (Such as portions of the 2009 City of Poulsbo Comprehensive Plan)
- B. Shoreline Environment Designations and Management Policies
- C. Shoreline Habitat and Critical Areas
- D. Water Quality
- E. Public Access, Public Views and Recreation
- F. Shoreline Uses and Activities
- G. Archeological and Historical Resources

The Environment Designation policies are applied to specific shoreline areas to guide the use and development of these areas, according to existing and future conditions and provide a preference for water dependent and water oriented use as well as other preferred SMA uses such as single family residential along the shoreline. The Habitat and Water policies apply more generally to issues such as shoreline critical areas, water quality, vegetation, and shoreline modifications such as armoring, dredging and filling. The Public Access and Recreation policies address provision of public access and enjoyment opportunities (including visual access) along the shorelines and in the waters of Liberty Bay. The Uses and Activities policies are concerned with the general distribution and location of shoreline uses, allowable activities, requirements for future placement of infrastructure such as roads and utilities, and maintenance of shoreline character. Although no listed archeological or historical resource sites have been identified on the City's shorelines, the Archeological and Historical resources policies provide guidance in case such sites are identified in the future.

The complete document of Shoreline Master Program Policies is available on the City of Poulsbo web-site located at:  
[http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/documents/final\\_second\\_draft\\_policies.pdf](http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/documents/final_second_draft_policies.pdf).

### 11.5.3 Regulations

The City of Poulsbo prepared first draft of shoreline regulations in October 2010. Based on feedback from the Department of Ecology and other reviewers, the Planning Department has made a number of revisions and additions to the first draft.

These regulations provide protection of shoreline function and promote the goal of achieving no net loss including by not limited to the following ways: RECHECK REG #s

- Requiring that all shoreline uses and activities shall be located and designed in a manner that ensures no net loss of shoreline ecological functions and minimizes adverse impacts to natural shoreline resources and wildlife habitat, including fish and aquatic habitat [PMC 16.08.120 (A)]. Thus, requiring that all future shoreline development is carried out in a manner that limits further degradation of the shoreline environment.
- Incorporating the protection of Critical Areas within the shoreline jurisdiction [PMC 16.08.060].
- Defining mitigation sequencing requirements including avoiding impacts where possible and minimizing and mitigating for impacts that cannot be avoided to address no net loss policies [PMC 16.08.140].
- Requiring consideration of non-structural and soft shoreline stabilization methods prior to hard shoreline stabilization [PMC 16.08.390]
- Requiring the establishment of native vegetation along the shoreline [PMC 16.08.320 (B)]

The draft SMP regulations are available on-line for review at:  
[http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/planning\\_shoreline.htm](http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/planning_shoreline.htm).

### 11.6 NO NET LOSS CONCLUSION

The proposed SMP represents environmental designations, policies, and use regulations that are not only consistent with the baseline ecologic function and land use but are also consistent with the findings of the supporting documents as well as the City's current zoning and comprehensive planning documents. It also represents the comments and feedback that have been received by the citizens of Poulsbo during the public participation and community visioning phases of the update.

Based upon the supporting documents and the proposed SMP, and the City's restoration plan, it is anticipated that no net loss of shoreline ecological function will occur within the City of Poulsbo as the SMP is implemented over time.

*Note: Text may be modified to address any required changes to the draft SMP if required by the Washington State Department of Ecology.*

## 12 REFERENCES

- Battelle Marine Sciences Laboratory (BMSL). 2009. East Kitsap County Nearshore Habitat Assessment and Restoration Prioritization Framework. Prepared for Kitsap County, Department of Community Development. April 2009.
- Ecology. 2010. SMP Handbook (Draft) - Chapter 17 Cumulative Impacts Analysis. Draft dated May 27, 2010. Available on-line at:  
<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/shorelines/smp/handbook/index.html>.
- Ecology. 2010. SMP Handbook (Draft) - Chapter 4 No Net Loss of Shoreline Ecological Functions. Draft dated June 22, 2010. Available on-line at:  
<http://www.ecy.wa.gov/programs/sea/shorelines/smp/handbook/index.html>.
- Grette Associates, 2010 City of Poulsbo: Shoreline Master Program Update: Inventory and Characterization. June 2010. Available on-line at:  
[http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/planning\\_shoreline.htm](http://www.cityofpoulsbo.com/planning/planning_shoreline.htm).

**CITY OF POULSBO  
SHORELINE MASTER PROGRAM UPDATE**



**CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS EXHIBIT –  
OFFICIAL SHORELINE MAPS**

# CITY OF POULSBO Kitsap County, Washington


# Shoreline Master Program Official Shoreline Map

### Legend

-  City Limits
  -  Urban Growth Area
  -  Shoreline
  -  100-Foot Shoreline Buffer
  -  100-Ft Buffer + 25-Ft Setback
  -  200-Foot Shoreline Jurisdiction Zone
  -  150-Foot Dogfish Creek Buffer
  -  Structure Footprints
- ### Environment Designation
-  High Intensity (HI)
  -  Natural (N)
  -  Shoreline Residential 1 (SR-1)
  -  Shoreline Residential 2 (SR-2)
  -  Urban Conservancy (UC)
  -  Aquatic (A)

Liberty Bay

#	Street Name	#	Street Name
1	NE Youngquist St	22	Kentucky Ln NW (PVT)
2	Weathervane Ln NE	23	Scoter Lane NE (PVT)
3	Blue Lagoon PL NE	24	NE Cooperation Way
4	Cherry Blossom Lp NE (PVT)	25	Max William PI NE
5	NE Angel Oak Ct (PVT)	26	NE Minor Ct (PVT)
6	NE Flowering Dogwood Ct (PVT)	27	NE Vader Ct (PVT)
7	NE Goldenchain Ct (PVT)	28	Hillsong PI NE (PVT)
8	NE Honey Locust Ct (PVT)	29	NE Craftsman Way (PVT)
9	Wild Chesnut PI NE (PVT)	30	NE Rugosa Way (PVT)
10	Sugar Maple PI NE (PVT)	31	Antonson Way NE (PVT)
11	Hamilton Ct NE	32	NE Tricia Rd (PVT)
12	Weeping Peach Ct NE (PVT)	33	Vicki Rd NE (PVT)
13	Whispering Will PI NE (PVT)	34	NE Tani Rd (PVT)
14	NW Staffordshire Ct	35	Farrell St NE (PVT)
15	Gadwell LN NE (PVT)	36	Elliot Ave NE (PVT)
16	NE Whimbrel Ln (PVT)	37	NE Tosha St (PVT)
17	Johanna Vel Ln NW (PVT)	38	Wendy Way NE (PVT)
18	Morajejan Ln NW (PVT)	39	Odessa Way NE (PVT)
19	Patriot Ln NW (PVT)	40	NE Fontaine St (PVT)
20	Laurene Way NW (PVT)	41	NW Slippery Pig Way (PVT)
21	Baron Ln NW (PVT)	42	Malbec St NW
		43	Marelaine Ln NW (PVT)



Primary Map Sources & Original Scales: Kitsap County Assessor's Tax Maps 1:12,000, Kitsap County IT, GIS Division. (Downloaded December 2020), Building Footprints, Kitsap County GIS. (Downloaded December 2020).

City of Poulsbo Planning Department GIS  
Printed on February 2021



This shoreline map series is intended for general shoreline planning. These maps are schematic representations of physical features, infrastructure, and land ownership boundaries. The map information was derived from available public records and existing sources, not from surveys. Studies may be necessary with project review to verify information.


Shoreline Master Program  
 Downtown Core Segment Map  
 Official Shoreline Map

**Legend**

-  Shoreline
-  100-Foot Shoreline Buffer
-  100-Ft Buffer + 25-Ft Setback
-  200-Foot Shoreline Jurisdiction Zone

**Environment Designation**

-  High Intensity (HI)
-  Aquatic (A)



Primary Map Sources & Original Scales: Kitsap County Assessor's Tax Maps  
 1:12,000, Kitsap County IT, GIS Division. (Downloaded December 2020), Building  
 Footprints, Kitsap County GIS. (Downloaded December 2020, updated by Poulsbo GIS).

City of Poulsbo Planning Department GIS  
 Printed on February 2021



This shoreline map series is intended for general shoreline planning. These maps are schematic representations of physical features, infrastructure, and land ownership boundaries. The map information was derived from available public records and existing sources, not from surveys. Studies may be necessary with project review to verify information.


Shoreline Master Program  
 Estuary Segment Map  
 Official Shoreline Map

**Legend**

-  Shoreline
-  100-Foot Shoreline Buffer
-  100-Ft Buffer + 25-Ft Setback
-  200-Foot Shoreline Jurisdiction Zone
-  150-Foot Dogfish Creek Buffer
-  Structure Footprints

**Environment Designation**

-  High Intensity (HI)
-  Aquatic (A)
-  Natural (N)
-  Shoreline Residential 1 (SR-1)
-  Shoreline Residential 2 (SR-2)
-  Urban Conservancy (UC)



Primary Map Sources & Original Scales: Kitsap County Assessor's Tax Maps 1:12,000, Kitsap County IT, GIS Division. (Downloaded December 2020), Building Footprints, Kitsap County GIS. (Downloaded December 2020).

City of Poulsbo Planning Department GIS  
 Printed on February 2021



This shoreline map series is intended for general shoreline planning. These maps are schematic representations of physical features, infrastructure, and land ownership boundaries. The map information was derived from available public records and existing sources, not from surveys. Studies may be necessary with project review to verify information.




Shoreline Master Program  
 Front Street Segment Map  
 Official Shoreline Map

**Legend**

-  City Limits
-  Urban Growth Area
-  Shoreline
-  100-Foot Shoreline Buffer
-  100-Ft Buffer + 25-Ft Setback
-  200-Foot Shoreline Jurisdiction Zone
-  Structure Footprints

**Environment Designation**

-  High Intensity
-  Aquatic
-  Natural
-  Shoreline Residential 1
-  Shoreline Residential 2
-  Urban Conservancy
-  Aquatic (A)




Primary Map Sources & Original Scales: Kitsap County Assessor's Tax Maps 1:12,000, Kitsap County IT, GIS Division. (Downloaded December 2020), Building Footprints, Kitsap County GIS. (Downloaded December 2020, updated by Poulsbo GIS).

City of Poulsbo Planning Department GIS  
 Printed on February 2021



This shoreline map series is intended for general shoreline planning. These maps are schematic representations of physical features, infrastructure, and land ownership boundaries. The map information was derived from available public records and existing sources, not from surveys. Studies may be necessary with project review to verify information.

# Shoreline Master Program Western Shoreline Segment Map Official Shoreline Map



## Legend

- City Limits
- Urban Growth Area
- Shoreline
- 100-Foot Shoreline Buffer
- 100-Ft Buffer + 25-Ft Setback
- 200-Foot Shoreline Jurisdiction Zone
- Structure Footprints

## Environment Designation

- Aquatic (A)
- High Intensity (HI)
- Natural (N)
- Shoreline Residential 1 (SR-1)
- Shoreline Residential 2 (SR-2)
- Urban Conservancy (UC)

Primary Map Sources & Original Scales: Kitsap County Assessor's Tax Maps 1:12,000, Kitsap County IT, GIS Division. (Downloaded December 2020), Building Footprints, Kitsap County GIS. (Downloaded December 2020).

City of Poulsbo Planning Department GIS  
Printed on February 2021



This shoreline map series is intended for general shoreline planning. These maps are schematic representations of physical features, infrastructure, and land ownership boundaries. The map information was derived from available public records and existing sources, not from surveys. Studies may be necessary with project review to verify information.